

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

VOLUME 1 – PROPOSED PROJECT: DETAILED DESCRIPTION

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1	Introduction	ES-1
	ES.1.1 Interstate Reliability Project: Purpose and Location.....	ES-1
	ES.1.2 Connecticut Portion of the Interstate Reliability Project	ES-3
	ES.1.3 The Connecticut Siting Council Application: Organization and Content.....	ES-7
ES.2	Project Need and Connecticut Benefits	ES-9
ES.3	Technical Description of the Proposed Project Facilities	ES-11
ES.4	Construction and Operation / Maintenance Procedures.....	ES-13
ES.5	Environmental Resources, Potential Effects, and Mitigation	ES-16
	ES.5.1 Characterization of the Existing Environment.....	ES-16
	ES.5.2 Environmental Effects and Mitigation Measures	ES-18
ES.6	EMF Analyses.....	ES-22
ES.7	Alternatives Analyses.....	ES-23
	ES.7.1 Overview of Alternatives Considered.....	ES-23
	ES.7.2 Summary of Variations to Portions of the Proposed Route	ES-29
ES.8	Mansfield Hollow Area Design Options.....	ES-31
ES.9	Cost.....	ES-38
ES.10	Schedule.....	ES-39
ES.11	Agency Coordination and Regulatory Approvals.....	ES-40
ES.12	Municipal Consultation and Public Outreach	ES-40

FORMAL REQUIREMENTS

A.	Purpose	FR-1
B.	Statutory Authority	FR-1
C.	Legal Name and Address of Applicant.....	FR-2
D.	Applicant’s Contacts.....	FR-2
E.	Quality, Form, and Filing Requirements	FR-3
F.	Application Filing Fees.....	FR-7
G.	Proof of Service	FR-8
H.	Notice to Community Organizations	FR-9
I.	Public Notice.....	FR-9
J.	Notice in Utility Bills.....	FR-9
K.	Notice to Owners of Property Abutting Substation and Switching Station	FR-10

1. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT 1-1

1.1	Summary of the Interstate Reliability Project.....	1-2
	1.1.1 Overview of Interstate Reliability Project Facilities.....	1-2
	1.1.2 Summary of State Siting Jurisdictions.....	1-5
1.2	Connecticut Portion of the Interstate Reliability Project	1-5

1.2.1	Proposed 345-kV Lines from Card Street Substation to Lake Road Switching Station and from Lake Road Switching Station to the Connecticut / Rhode Island Border	1-7
1.2.2	Substations and Switching Stations	1-12
2.	PROJECT BACKGROUND AND NEED.....	2-1
2.1	The System Planning Process and Reliability Criteria	2-1
2.1.1	A Brief History of Electric Reliability Planning.....	2-1
2.1.2	Modern Reliability Standards and Criteria	2-3
2.1.3	Simulating Contingencies	2-3
2.1.4	Generation Dispatches in Power-Flow Simulations	2-6
2.2	The New England Bulk-Power Supply System	2-8
2.3	Bulk-Power Supply in Southern New England.....	2-10
2.3.1	Transmission Interfaces	2-12
2.3.2	The New England East – West Interface	2-13
2.4	Development of the Interstate Reliability Project.....	2-16
2.4.1	The Southern New England Transmission Reliability Studies and the NEEWS Plan (2004-2008)	2-16
2.4.2	Re-Evaluation of the NEEWS Projects, 2008-2010	2-22
2.5	The 2011 Needs Re-Analysis.....	2-24
2.5.1	Summary of Re-Analysis Testing	2-25
2.5.1.1	Power-Flow Modeling Assumptions.....	2-25
2.5.1.2	Power-Flow Modeling Results – Thermal Criteria	2-26
2.5.1.3	Power-Flow Modeling Results – Voltage Violations.....	2-27
2.5.1.4	Delta P Testing.....	2-27
2.5.1.5	Transmission Transfer Capability Analysis	2-27
2.5.2	Discussion of Transmission Deficiencies	2-28
2.6	The Updated Solution Study	2-34
2.6.1	Connecticut-Specific Benefits of the Interstate Reliability Project	2-35
2.6.2	Improving Connecticut’s Import Capability: Reliability Benefits.....	2-36
2.6.3	Environmental Benefits	2-36
2.6.4	Increasing Connecticut’s Generation Resources	2-37
2.7	Conclusion	2-38
3.	TECHNICAL PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS.....	3-1
3.1	Proposed Transmission Line Facilities: Card Street Substation to Lake Road Switching Station to Connecticut / Rhode Island Border	3-2
3.1.1	345-kV Conductor Size and Specifications	3-3
3.1.2	Proposed Lines Overhead Design, Appearance, and Heights.....	3-3
3.1.3	Design Voltage and Capacity	3-8
3.1.4	Proposed Structure Locations	3-8
3.1.5	ROW and Access Road Requirements	3-9
3.1.6	Facilities on ROW Post-Construction (Proposed Line Design).....	3-12
3.1.6.1	Card Street Substation to Babcock Hill Junction – XS-1	3-13
3.1.6.2	EMF BMP – Focus Area A (Babcock Hill Junction to vicinity of Highland Road) – XS-2 BMP	3-14
3.1.6.3	Vicinity of Highland Road (Mansfield) to Mansfield Hollow State Park – XS-2	3-14
3.1.6.4	Mansfield Hollow State Park to Bassetts Bridge Road – XS-3.....	3-14

3.1.6.5	Bassetts Bridge Road to Shuba Lane – XS-4	3-15
3.1.6.6	Vicinity of Shuba Lane though Mansfield Hollow WMA to Vicinity Willimantic Road – XS-5	3-15
3.1.6.7	Willimantic Road (U.S. Route 6) to Vicinity of Day Street Junction – XS-6	3-16
3.1.6.8	EMF BMP – Focus Area D (Vicinity of Day Street Junction) – XS-6 BMP	3-16
3.1.6.9	Day Street Junction to Hartford Turnpike – XS-7	3-16
3.1.6.10	Hartford Turnpike to Lake Road Junction – XS-8	3-16
3.1.6.11	Lake Road Junction to Lake Road Switching Station – XS-9.....	3-17
3.1.6.12	Lake Road Junction to Killingly Substation – XS-10	3-17
3.1.6.13	Killingly Substation to Heritage Road – XS-11	3-17
3.1.6.14	Heritage Road to Connecticut / Rhode Island State Border (Excluding Elvira Heights) – XS-12	3-18
3.1.6.15	EMF BMP – Focus Area E (Elvira Heights) – XS-12 BMP	3-18
3.2	Substation Connections and Modifications	3-21
3.2.1	Card Street Substation	3-21
3.2.2	Lake Road Switching Station	3-22
3.2.3	Killingly Substation	3-22
3.3	Estimated Project Costs	3-23
3.3.1	Estimated Capital Cost of all Interstate Reliability Project Facilities.....	3-23
3.3.2	Estimated Capital Cost of the Connecticut Portion of the Project.....	3-24
3.3.3	Life-Cycle Cost.....	3-24

APPENDIX 3A – Cross Sections**APPENDIX 3B –Illustrations of Transmission Line Structure Types****4. CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION / MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES..... 4-1**

4.1	Standard Procedures for Overhead Transmission Line construction.....	4-2
4.1.1	Introduction and Overview of Construction Sequencing.....	4-2
4.1.2	Material Staging Sites.....	4-4
4.1.2.1	Temporary Storage Areas.....	4-6
4.1.2.2	Staging Areas	4-6
4.1.2.3	Crane Pads.....	4-7
4.1.3	Construction Field Offices.....	4-8
4.1.4	Right-of-Way Preparation.....	4-9
4.1.4.1	Temporary Erosion and Sedimentation Controls	4-9
4.1.4.2	Vegetation Removal, Including Tree Clearing.....	4-11
4.1.5	Access Roads	4-18
4.1.5.1	On-ROW Access Roads	4-18
4.1.5.2	Off-ROW Access Roads	4-19
4.1.6	Structure Installation.....	4-21
4.1.6.1	Foundation Work (Foundation Types and Excavations).....	4-21
4.1.6.2	Structure Placement.....	4-21
4.1.7	Conductor Work	4-22
4.1.8	Right-of-Way Cleanup and Restoration.....	4-23
4.1.8.1	Final Grading, Revegetation, and Permanent Erosion and Sedimentation Controls	4-23
4.1.8.2	Permanent Access Roads	4-25

	4.1.8.3	Methods to Prevent or Discourage Unauthorized Use of the ROWs	4-25
	4.1.9	Traffic Considerations and Control	4-26
	4.1.10	Construction and Post-Construction Monitoring: D&M Plan(s)	4-26
4.2		Conditions Requiring Special Construction Procedures	4-27
	4.2.1	Water Resource Crossings	4-27
	4.2.1.1	Wetlands	4-28
	4.2.1.2	Waterbodies	4-30
	4.2.2	Blasting	4-32
	4.2.3	Soils and Groundwater Testing and Management	4-32
	4.2.3.1	Pre-Construction Studies and Plans	4-32
	4.2.3.2	Soils / Groundwater Handling and Management	4-34
	4.2.4	Groundwater and Construction Site Dewatering	4-35
4.3		Construction Procedure for Substation and Switching Station Modifications	4-35
	4.3.1	Overview of Proposed Construction at Stations	4-35
	4.3.2	Site Preparation	4-36
	4.3.3	Foundations and Equipment Installation	4-36
	4.3.4	Testing and Interconnections	4-37
	4.3.5	Final Cleanup, Site Security, and Landscaping	4-37
4.4		Operations and Maintenance Procedures	4-38
	4.4.1	Rights-of-Way Vegetation Management	4-38
	4.4.2	Substation and Switching Station Maintenance	4-38
	4.4.3	Compliance with Applicable Codes and Standards	4-38
	4.4.3.1	Emergency Operations and Shutdown	4-38
	4.4.3.2	Fire Suppression Technology	4-39
	4.4.4	Security of Facilities	4-40
5.		DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING ENVIRONMENT	5-1
5.1		Proposed Route: Card Street Substation to Lake Road Switching Station to Connecticut / Rhode Island Border	5-4
	5.1.1	Topography, Geology and Soils	5-4
	5.1.1.1	Topography	5-4
	5.1.1.2	Geology	5-6
	5.1.1.3	Soils	5-7
	5.1.2	Water Resources	5-10
	5.1.2.1	Drainage Basins and Waterbodies	5-11
	5.1.2.2	Wetlands	5-14
	5.1.2.3	Groundwater Resources, Public Water Supplies, and Aquifer Protection Zones	5-19
	5.1.2.4	Flood Zones and SCELS	5-23
	5.1.3	Biological Resources	5-25
	5.1.3.1	Vegetative Communities	5-25
	5.1.3.2	Wildlife and Fisheries Resources	5-27
	5.1.3.3	Federal and State Listed or Proposed Threatened, Endangered, or Special Concern Species	5-39
	5.1.3.4	Designated Wildlife Management Areas (WMAs)	5-52
	5.1.4	Land Uses and Scenic Resources	5-55
	5.1.4.1	Existing Land Uses	5-56
	5.1.4.2	Settled Areas	5-69
	5.1.4.3	Statutory Facilities	5-69

5.1.4.4	Public Forests, Parks, Open Space, Recreational / Public Trust Lands, and Trails	5-71
5.1.4.5	Designated Protected and Scenic Resources	5-77
5.1.5	Federal, State, and Local Use Plans/Future Land-Use Development	5-79
5.1.5.1	State and Regional Plans	5-79
5.1.5.2	Local Land-Use Plans	5-81
5.1.6	Transportation Systems and Utility Crossings.....	5-82
5.1.7	Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources	5-85
5.1.7.1	Cultural Resources Overview.....	5-85
5.1.7.2	Cultural Resources Assessment Methods and Results	5-87
5.1.7.3	Archaeological Reconnaissance Methods and Results.....	5-90
5.1.8	Air Quality	5-92
5.1.9	Noise	5-96
5.2	Substations and Switching Stations	5-100
5.2.1	Card Street Substation	5-100
5.2.1.1	Geology, Topography, and Soils.....	5-100
5.2.1.2	Water Resources.....	5-100
5.2.1.3	Biological Resources.....	5-101
5.2.1.4	Existing and Future Land Uses, Recreational Areas, and Visual Resources	5-102
5.2.1.5	Transportation and Access	5-102
5.2.1.6	Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources.....	5-102
5.2.1.7	Air Quality	5-103
5.2.1.8	Noise	5-103
5.2.2	Lake Road Switching Station	5-103
5.2.2.1	Geology, Topography, and Soils.....	5-104
5.2.2.2	Water Resources.....	5-105
5.2.2.3	Biological Resources.....	5-105
5.2.2.4	Existing and Future Land Uses, Recreational Areas, and Visual Resources	5-105
5.2.2.5	Transportation and Access	5-106
5.2.2.6	Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources.....	5-106
5.2.2.7	Air Quality	5-106
5.2.2.8	Noise	5-106
5.2.3	Killingly Substation	5-107
5.2.3.1	Geology, Topography, and Soils.....	5-107
5.2.3.2	Water Resources.....	5-108
5.2.3.3	Biological Resources	5-108
5.2.3.4	Existing and Future Land Uses, Recreational Areas, and Visual Resources	5-109
5.2.3.5	Transportation and Access	5-110
5.2.3.6	Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources.....	5-110
5.2.3.7	Air Quality	5-110
5.2.3.8	Noise	5-110

6. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES 6-1

6.1	Proposed Card Street Substation to Lake Road Switching Station to Connecticut / Rhode Island Border Route	6-3
6.1.1	Topography, Geology, and Soils	6-3

	6.1.1.1	Topography and Geology.....	6-4
	6.1.1.2	Soils.....	6-5
	6.1.2	Water Resources	6-7
	6.1.2.1	Waterbodies.....	6-12
	6.1.2.2	Wetlands.....	6-18
	6.1.2.3	Groundwater Resources and Public and Private Water Supplies..	6-25
	6.1.2.4	Flood Zones.....	6-27
	6.1.3	Biological Resources	6-29
	6.1.3.1	Vegetation	6-29
	6.1.3.2	Wildlife and Fishery Resources	6-35
	6.1.3.3	Federal and State Listed or Proposed Threatened, Endangered, or Special Concern Species	6-44
	6.1.4	Land Use, Recreational/Scenic Resources, and Land Use Plans	6-57
	6.1.4.1	Land Use	6-57
	6.1.4.2	Consistency with Existing and Future Land Use Plans.....	6-59
	6.1.4.3	Public Forests, Parks, Open Space, Recreational / Public Trust Lands, and Trails	6-61
	6.1.4.4	Designated Protected and Scenic Resources	6-62
	6.1.4.5	Methods to Prevent and Discourage Unauthorized Use of ROW	6-66
	6.1.5	Transportation, Access, and Utility Crossings.....	6-67
	6.1.6	Archaeological and Historic (Cultural) Resources	6-68
	6.1.6.1	Archaeological Resources	6-69
	6.1.6.2	Historic Resources.....	6-70
	6.1.7	Air Quality	6-71
	6.1.8	Noise	6-71
6.2		Substation and Switching Station Modifications	6-74
	6.2.1	Geology, Topography, and Soils	6-74
	6.2.2	Water Resources	6-75
	6.2.3	Biological Resources	6-76
	6.2.4	Land Use, Recreational / Scenic Resources, and Land Use Plans	6-76
	6.2.5	Transportation and Access.....	6-77
	6.2.6	Archaeological and Historic (Cultural) Resources	6-78
	6.2.7	Air Quality	6-78
	6.2.8	Noise	6-78
7.		ELECTRIC AND MAGNETIC FIELDS	7-1
	7.1	Electric and Magnetic Fields from Power Lines and Other Sources	7-2
	7.2	EMF Regulations and Guidelines in Connecticut.....	7-6
	7.2.1	Statement of Compliance with the BMP and Buffer Zone Requirements	7-7
	7.3	Methods for EMF Measurements and Calculations.....	7-9
	7.3.1	Field Measurements of EMF from Existing Sources.....	7-9
	7.3.2	Calculations of EMF from Transmission Lines.....	7-10
	7.3.2.1	System Configuration.....	7-11
	7.3.2.2	System Load Level.....	7-12
	7.3.2.3	Generation Dispatch, Connecticut Import Level, and Connecticut East-West Transfer Level.....	7-14

7.4	Magnetic Field Measurements and Calculations Developed to Comply with the BMP and to Develop the Field Management Design Plan: Connecticut Portion of the Interstate Reliability Project Transmission Lines.....	7-16
7.4.1	EMF Associated with Proposed Line Designs.....	7-16
7.4.1.1	Card Street Substation to Babcock Hill Junction – XS-1.....	7-17
7.4.1.2	Babcock Hill Junction to Vicinity of Highland Road – XS-2 BMP	7-19
7.4.1.3	Vicinity of Highland Road to Mansfield Hollow State Park – XS-2	7-21
7.4.1.4	Mansfield Hollow State Park to Bassetts Bridge Road – XS-3.....	7-23
7.4.1.5	Bassetts Bridge Road to Shuba Lane – XS-4	7-25
7.4.1.6	Vicinity of Shuba Lane through Mansfield Hollow WMA to Vicinity of Willimantic Road– XS-5.....	7-26
7.4.1.7	Willimantic Road to Vicinity of Day Street Junction – XS-6	7-28
7.4.1.8	Vicinity of Day Street Junction – XS-6 BMP	7-29
7.4.1.9	Day Street Junction to Hartford Turnpike – XS-7	7-32
7.4.1.10	Hartford Turnpike to Lake Road Junction – XS-8	7-33
7.4.1.11	Lake Road Junction to Lake Road Switching Station – XS-9.....	7-35
7.4.1.12	Lake Road Junction to Killingly Substation – XS-10	7-37
7.4.1.13	Killingly Substation to Heritage Road – XS-11	7-39
7.4.1.14	Heritage Road to Connecticut/Rhode Island State Border, Excluding Elvira Heights – XS-12	7-41
7.4.1.15	EMF BMP – Focus Area E (Elvira Heights) – XS-12 BMP	7-43
7.4.2	BMP Focus Areas	7-45
7.5	Update on EMF Health Research	7-46
7.6	Summary of Actions Demonstrating Consistency with Council Guidelines	7-48
7.7	References.....	7-50

Appendix 7A –Council’s EMF Best Management Practices

Appendix 7B – Field Management Design Plan

Appendix 7C – Tabular Data EMF Levels for Cross-Sections

Appendix 7D – EMF Health Report

8.	PROPOSED PROJECT SCHEDULE	8-1
9.	PERMITS, APPROVALS, AND CONSULTATIONS.....	9-1
9.1	Agency Permits and Approvals Required for the Project	9-1
9.2	Federal and State Agency Consultations	9-2
9.3	Municipal, Public, and Other Consultations	9-5
10.	TRANSMISSION LINE DESIGN AND RIGHT-OF-WAY CONFIGURATION OPTIONS IN MANSFIELD HOLLOW	10-1
10.1	Introduction and Rationale for the Configuration Options	10-1
10.2	Background Information Concerning the Existing ROW on Federal Property in Mansfield Hollow	10-5
10.3	Chronological Summary of Mansfield Hollow Configuration Options.....	10-9
10.4	No ROW Expansion Option	10-12
10.4.1	Technical Description (Design, Appearance, Land Requirements, Cost)	10-13

10.4.2	Construction Procedures and Sequence	10-16
10.4.3	Existing Environmental Features	10-17
10.4.4	Potential Environmental Effects and Mitigation Measures	10-19
10.4.5	Electric and Magnetic Fields	10-22
10.4.6	Comparison of the Proposed Configuration (Matching Structures) and the No ROW Expansion Option	10-24
10.5	Minimal ROW Expansion Option	10-27
10.5.1	Technical Description (Design, Appearance, Land Requirements, Cost)	10-27
10.5.2	Construction Procedures and Sequence	10-29
10.5.3	Existing Environmental Features	10-30
10.5.4	Potential Environmental Effects and Mitigation Measures	10-30
10.5.5	Electric and Magnetic Fields	10-34
10.5.6	Comparison of the Proposed Configuration (Matching Structures) and the Minimal ROW Expansion Option	10-36
10.6	Summary Comparison of Mansfield Hollow Configuration Options	10-38

Appendix 10A – No ROW Expansion Option

Appendix 10B – Minimal ROW Expansion Option

Appendix 10C – Photo Simulations

14.3.1.1	Considerations in Selecting Underground Transmission Technology.....	14-18
14.3.1.2	Route Evaluation Criteria.....	14-23
14.3.1.3	Cost	14-25
14.3.2	Construction Considerations and Procedures	14-27
14.3.2.1	General Construction Sequence: Cable Systems in or adjacent to Road ROWs	14-27
14.3.2.2	Additional Requirements for Cable-System Construction Outside of Road ROWs	14-31
14.3.2.3	Splice-Vault Requirements	14-33
14.3.2.4	Temporary Erosion and Sedimentation Controls	14-34
14.3.2.5	Vegetation Clearing (Within / Adjacent to Roads vs. Other Sites).....	14-35
14.3.2.6	Special Procedures: Rock Removal (Blasting), Dewatering, Material Handling.....	14-35
14.3.2.7	Traffic Management.....	14-36
14.3.2.8	Construction Scheduling and Work Hours.....	14-37
14.3.2.9	Line Transition Station Construction	14-37
14.3.3	Alternative Underground Line Routes Considered but Eliminated	14-38
14.3.3.1	New Right-of-Way Alternative	14-40
14.3.3.2	Alternative Routes along Existing Pipeline and Railroad Rights-of-Way	14-42
14.3.3.3	Alternative Routes along Existing Transmission Line Rights-of-Way.....	14-42
14.3.3.4	Alternative Routes along Highway Rights-of-Way	14-45
14.3.3.5	Combination Highway and Transmission Line Rights-of-Way Underground Alternative Route	14-46
14.3.3.6	U.S. Route 44 Underground Variation to Portion of Combination Highway and Transmission Line Rights-of-Way Underground Alternative Route	14-56
14.4	Justification for the Selection of the Proposed Transmission Line Route and Configuration.....	14-60

Appendix 14A – Tutorial Underground Electric Power Transmission Cable Systems

15.	POTENTIAL TRANSMISSION LINE ROUTE VARIATIONS.....	15-1
15.1	Introduction and Summary	15-1
15.1.1	Overview of the Route Variations	15-1
15.1.2	Route Variation Analysis Process.....	15-7
15.1.2.1	Analysis Issues Common to the Underground Variations	15-9
15.1.2.2	Analysis Issues Common to the Overhead Route Variations.....	15-10
15.1.3	Conclusions Regarding Variations	15-11
15.2	Mansfield Underground Variation	15-15
15.2.1	Purpose and Location of the Variation	15-15
15.2.2	Technical Description (Design, Appearance, Land Requirements, Cost)	15-18
15.2.3	Construction and Operation/Maintenance Considerations	15-18
15.2.4	Existing Environmental Features	15-20
15.2.4.1	Topography, Geology, and Soils.....	15-20
15.2.4.2	Water Resources.....	15-22
15.2.4.3	Biological Resources.....	15-24

	15.2.4.4	Land Uses.....	15-25
	15.2.4.5	Transportation, Access, and Utility Crossings	15-27
	15.2.4.6	Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources.....	15-27
	15.2.5	Potential Environmental Effects and Mitigation Measures	15-28
	15.2.6	Electric and Magnetic Fields	15-31
	15.2.7	Comparison of the Mansfield Underground Variation to the Segment of the Proposed Route Replaced	15-35
15.3		Mount Hope Underground Variation.....	15-39
	15.3.1	Purpose and Location of the Variation	15-39
	15.3.2	Technical Description (Design, Appearance, Land Requirements, Cost)	15-41
	15.3.3	Construction and Operation/Maintenance Considerations	15-42
	15.3.4	Existing Environmental Features	15-43
	15.3.4.1	Topography, Geology, and Soils.....	15-43
	15.3.4.2	Water Resources.....	15-44
	15.3.4.3	Biological Resources.....	15-47
	15.3.4.4	Land Uses.....	15-48
	15.3.4.5	Transportation, Access, and Utility Crossings	15-50
	15.3.4.6	Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources.....	15-50
	15.3.5	Potential Environmental Effects and Mitigation Measures	15-51
	15.3.6	Electric and Magnetic Fields	15-54
	15.3.7	Comparison of the Mount Hope Underground Variation to the Segment of the Proposed Route Replaced	15-59
15.4		Brooklyn Variations.....	15-62
	15.4.1	Introduction and Summary	15-62
	15.4.1.1	Purpose of the Variations	15-62
	15.4.1.2	Summary Comparison of the Brooklyn Variations to the Segments of the Proposed Project that would be Replaced	15-64
	15.4.2	Brooklyn Overhead Variation.....	15-68
	15.4.2.1	Location of the Route Variation.....	15-68
	15.4.2.2	Technical Description (Design, Appearance, Land Requirements, Cost)	15-68
	15.4.2.3	Construction and Operation/Maintenance Considerations	15-69
	15.4.2.4	Existing Environmental Features	15-69
	15.4.2.5	Potential Environmental Effects and Mitigation Measures.....	15-74
	15.4.2.6	Electric and Magnetic Fields.....	15-77
	15.4.2.7	Comparison of the Brooklyn Overhead Variation to the Segment of the Proposed Route Replaced.....	15-80
	15.4.3	Brooklyn Underground Variation	15-82
	15.4.3.1	Location of the Underground Variation	15-82
	15.4.3.2	Technical Description (Design, Appearance, Land Requirements, Cost)	15-83
	15.4.3.3	Construction and Operation/Maintenance Considerations	15-83
	15.4.3.4	Existing Environmental Features	15-85
	15.4.3.5	Potential Environmental Effects and Mitigation Measures.....	15-92
	15.4.3.6	Electric and Magnetic Fields.....	15-95
	15.4.3.7	Comparison of the Brooklyn Underground Variation to the Segment of the Proposed Route Replaced	15-100
15.5		Willimantic South Variations	15-104
	15.5.1	Introduction and Summary	15-104
	15.5.1.1	Purpose of the Variations	15-104

15.5.1.2	Summary Comparison of the Willimantic South Variations to the Segments of the Proposed Project that would be Replaced	15-106
15.5.2	Willimantic South Overhead Variation	15-111
15.5.2.1	Location of the Route Variation.....	15-111
15.5.2.2	Technical Description (Design, Appearance, Land Requirements, Cost)	15-112
15.5.2.3	Construction and Operation/Maintenance Considerations	15-114
15.5.2.4	Existing Environmental Features	15-115
15.5.2.5	Potential Environmental Effects and Mitigation Measures.....	15-127
15.5.2.6	Electric and Magnetic Fields.....	15-130
15.5.2.7	Comparison of the Willimantic South Overhead Variation to the Segments of the Proposed Route that Would be Replaced	15-136
15.5.3	Willimantic South Underground Variation.....	15-140
15.5.3.1	Location of the Route Variation.....	15-140
15.5.3.2	Technical Description (Design, Appearance, Land Requirements, Cost)	15-141
15.5.3.3	Construction and Operation/Maintenance Considerations	15-142
15.5.3.4	Existing Environmental Features	15-144
15.5.3.5	Potential Environmental Effects and Mitigation Measures.....	15-157
15.5.3.6	Electric and Magnetic Fields.....	15-161
15.5.3.7	Comparison of the Willimantic South Underground Variation to the Segment of the Proposed Route Replaced	15-169

Appendix 15A – General Description of Environmental Effects Associated with the Development of the Overhead and Underground Route Variations

Appendix 15B – Cross-Sections of Variations

Appendix 15C – Tabular Data EMF levels for Variation Cross-Sections

16.	PROPOSED SUBSTATION AND SWITCHING STATION MODIFICATIONS: ALTERNATIVES REVIEW	16-1
17.	APPLICATION DIRECTORY	17-1
18.	GLOSSARY AND TERMS.....	18-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS: SUPPORTING VOLUMES**VOLUME 2: ENVIRONMENTAL: WETLANDS/WATERCOURSES REPORT****VOLUME 3: ENVIRONMENTAL: CULTURAL RESOURCES****VOLUME 4: ENVIRONMENTAL**

- EX. 1: Inventory of Potential Breeding Bird Species and Habitats along the Connecticut Portions of Interstate Reliability Project
- EX. 2: Final Report: Insect Survey for the Interstate Reliability Project
- EX. 3: Inventory of Vernal Pools and Amphibian Breeding Habitats along the Connecticut Portions of Interstate Reliability Project
- EX. 4: Agency Correspondence

VOLUME 5: PLANNING

- EX. 1: ISO-NE, "Southern New England Transmission Reliability, Report 1 – Need Analysis," January 2008, (Redacted to secure Confidential Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII))
- EX. 2: ISO-NE, "New England East-West Solutions (Formerly Southern New England Transmission Reliability), Report 2 – Options Analysis," June 2008 (Redacted to secure CEII)
- EX. 3: The Connecticut Light and Power Company and National Grid USA, "Solutions Report for the Interstate Reliability Project," August 2008
- EX. 4: ISO-NE, "New England East-West Solution (NEEWS): Interstate Reliability Project Component Updated Needs Assessment," April 2011 (Redacted to secure CEII)
- EX. 5: ISO-NE, "New England East-West Solution (NEEWS): Interstate Reliability Project Component Updated Transmission Analysis Solution Study Report," _____ 2011 (Redacted to secure CEII)
- EX. 6: ICF Inc., "Assessment of Non-Transmission Alternatives to the NEEWS Transmission Projects: Interstate Reliability Project," _____ 2011 (Redacted to secure CEII)

VOLUME 6: NORTHEAST UTILITIES' BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

- EX. 1: Northeast Utilities' Best Management Practices for Construction Activities – Connecticut, 2008
- EX. 2: OTRM 030 – Right-of-Way Vegetation Initial Clearance Standard for 115- and 345-kV Transmission Lines
- EX. 3: OTRM 230 – Vegetation Clearing Procedures and Practices for Transmission Line Sections

VOLUME 7: SUBSTATION AND SWITCHING STATION DRAWINGS

- EX. 1: Proposed Card Street Substation Modifications
- EX. 2: Proposed Lake Road Switching Station Modifications
- EX. 3: Proposed Killingly Substation Modifications

VOLUME 8: PHOTOGRAPHS, VISUAL SIMULATIONS, AND VISUAL RESOURCES REPORT

Visual Resource Analysis Report

- Appendix A: Proposed Route Location Map with Visual Sites
- Appendix B: Photographs of Potential Visual Sites
- Appendix C: Photo-Simulations
- Appendix D: Representative Photographs of the Proposed Route and Variations: General Visual Setting from Public Road Crossing

VOLUME 9: 400-SCALE MAPS

- EX. 1: Overview of Route on USGS Map
- EX. 2: Proposed Route Aerial Photographs – 400 Scale
- EX. 2A: Mansfield Hollow Configuration Options
 - No ROW Expansion Option
 - Minimal ROW Expansion Option
- EX. 3: Variations Aerial Photographs – 400 Scale

VOLUME 10: CROSS SECTIONS AND PLAN AND PROFILES

- EX. 1: Typical Cross Sections and Photo Simulations
- EX. 2: Plan & Profile Drawings

VOLUME 11: 100-SCALE MAPS

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
Table ES-1:	Proposed 345-kV Transmission Lines, By ROW (Miles) in Connecticut Towns ES-6
Table ES-2:	CL&P Transmission Line Route Selection Objectives..... ES-25
Table ES-3:	Route Evaluation Criteria for Overhead Transmission Line Siting ES-26
Table ES-4:	Route Evaluation Criteria for Underground Transmission Cable System Siting ES-27
Table ES-5:	No ROW Expansion Option: Summary of Potential Environmental Effects, by ROW Segment ES-34
Table ES-6:	Minimal ROW Expansion Option: Summary of Potential Environmental Effects, by ROW Segment ES-35
Table ES-7:	Summary Comparison of Mansfield Hollow Configuration Options (Federal Properties, Combined Segments 1 and 2) ES-37
Table ES-8:	Potential Permits, Reviews, and Approvals Required for the Project..... ES-40
Table 1-1:	Interstate Reliability Project: Summary of 345-kV Components 1-3
Table 1-2:	Proposed 345-kV Transmission Line ROW Segments: Miles, Width, Cross-Sections, and Configuration (By Town and Volumes 9 and 11 Aerial Alignment Mapsheet Reference) 1-9
Table 2-1:	2010 90/10 CELT Load 2-26
Table 2-2:	Generation Resources Located along Card Street Substation to West Medway Substation Corridor..... 2-29
Table 3-1:	Existing Transmission Lines Sharing ROWs with the Proposed 345-kV Transmission Lines 3-2
Table 3-2:	Summary of Existing and Proposed 345-kV Transmission Line Configurations 3-19
Table 4-1:	Potential Material Storage or Staging Sites on CL&P Fee-Owned Properties 4-5
Table 4-2:	Summary of CL&P ROW Widths, Existing Managed ROW Widths, and Proposed Vegetation Clearing Widths for New 345-kV Transmission Lines 4-13
Table 4-3:	Potential Public Road Access to ROW 4-20
Table 5-1:	Soils and Soil Characteristics along the Proposed Route 5-113
Table 5-2:	Prime Farmland Soils and Farmland Soils of Statewide Importance within the existing CL&P ROWs along the Proposed Route, by Town..... 5-9
Table 5-3:	Summary of Connecticut Water Use Goals 5-12
Table 5-4:	Watercourses along the Proposed Route..... 5-121
Table 5-5:	Delineated Wetlands, Vernal Pools, and Wetlands Supporting Amphibian Habitat: Proposed 345-kV ROWs 5-127
Table 5-6:	List of Connecticut Aquifer Protection Areas in Towns along the Proposed Route..... 5-20
Table 5-7:	List of Watercourses and Waterbodies with FEMA 100-Year Floodplains along the Proposed Route 5-24
Table 5-8:	State-Listed Species in the Vicinity of the Proposed Route 5-42
Table 5-9:	Focal State-listed Lepidoptera 5-45
Table 5-10:	Results of Lepidoptera Surveys: Occurrence of State-listed Butterflies and Moths along the Project ROWs 5-48
Table 5-11:	State-Listed Bird Species Potentially Occurring in the Vicinity of the Proposed Route (As Identified by CT NDDB Records) 5-49
Table 5-12:	Approximate Distance Traversed by Proposed Route, by Town and through CL&P-owned Property 5-57
Table 5-13:	Approximate Distance Each Land Use Type is Traversed by Proposed Route, by Town 5-58

Table 5-14:	Public Forest, Parks, Open Space, Land-Trust Parcels, and Trails along and in the vicinity of the Proposed Route.....	5-74
Table 5-15:	Summary of Potential Scenic Areas Traversed by or in the Vicinity of the Proposed Route with Views of the Existing CL&P Transmission Lines	5-147
Table 5-16:	Summary of Local Land-Use Plans	5-81
Table 5-17:	Road Crossings – Proposed Route.....	5-84
Table 5-18:	Ambient Air Quality Concentrations	5-94
Table 5-19:	Typical Noise Levels Associated with Different Indoor and Outdoor Activities	5-98
Table 5-20:	State of Connecticut Noise-Control Regulations by Emitter and Receptor Land-Use Classification	5-99
Table 5-21:	Soil Types: Card Street Substation	5-101
Table 5-22:	Soil Types: Lake Road Switching Station	5-104
Table 5-23:	Soil Types: Killingly Substation.....	5-108
Table 6-1:	List of Watercourses with Proposed Permanent Access Roads Crossings	6-15
Table 6-2:	Estimated Surface Area of Waters of the United States Potentially Affected by the Proposed Transmission Lines (Temporary and Permanent Effects) and Total Secondary Effects of Forested Wetland Conversion to Scrub-Shrub or Emergent Wetland Types.....	6-21
Table 6-3:	Summary of Wetland Effects along Proposed Route.....	6-83
Table 6-4:	Permanent Transmission Line Structures Proposed for Location with FEMA 100-Year Floodplains.....	6-28
Table 6-5:	Summary of Potential Effects to Vernal Pool and Amphibian Breeding Habitats	6-95
Table 6-6:	Summary of State-Listed Threatened, Endangered, or Special Concern Species in Vicinity of Proposed Route.....	6-46
Table 6-7:	Summary of Land Uses, by Town, within the CL&P’s Proposed Route within the Existing CL&P ROWs.....	6-58
Table 6-8:	Approximate Acres of Forested Land to be Converted to Shrub-Scrub Land, by Town	6-59
Table 6-9:	Noise Ranges of Typical Construction Equipment.....	6-73
Table 7-1:	Summary of Magnetic Fields Measured in a Connecticut Town (Bethel).....	7-6
Table 7-2:	Generation Dispatches and Transfers (in MW) Assumed for Load-Flow Models	7-15
Table 7-3:	Measured Electric and Magnetic Fields for Card Street Substation to Babcock Hill Junction – XS-1 in the Vicinity of Residences	7-17
Table 7-4:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) – Card Street Substation to Babcock Hill Junction – XS-1	7-19
Table 7-5:	Measured Electric and Magnetic Fields for Babcock Hill Junction to Vicinity of Highland Road – XS-2 BMP in the Vicinity of the Focus Area.....	7-19
Table 7-6:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Babcock Hill Junction to Vicinity of Highland Road (XS-2 BMP).....	7-20
Table 7-7:	Measured Electric and Magnetic Fields for Babcock Hill Junction to Mansfield Hollow State Park – XS-2 in the Vicinity of the Focus Area	7-21
Table 7-8:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Vicinity of Highland Road to Mansfield Hollow Reservoir (XS-2).....	7-22
Table 7-9:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Mansfield Hollow State Park (XS-3)	7-24
Table 7-10:	Summary of Pre Interstate (2015) and Post NEEWS (2020) EMF levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Bassetts Bridge Road to Shuba Lane (XS-4)	7-26

Table 7-11:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Vicinity of Shuba Lane through Mansfield Hollow WMA to Vicinity of Willimantic Road (XS-5)	7-27
Table 7-12:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Willimantic Road to Vicinity of Day Street Junction (XS-6)	7-29
Table 7-13:	Measured Electric and Magnetic Fields in the Vicinity of Day Street Junction – XS-6 BMP Near Residential Day-Care	7-30
Table 7-14:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Vicinity of Day Street Junction (XS-6 BMP).....	7-31
Table 7-15:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Day Street Junction to Hartford Turnpike (XS-7)	7-33
Table 7-16:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Hartford Turnpike to Lake Road Junction (XS-8)	7-35
Table 7-17:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Lake Road Junction to Lake Road Switching Station (XS-9).....	7-36
Table 7-18:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Lake Road Junction to Killingly Substation (XS-10)	7-38
Table 7-19:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Killingly Substation to Heritage Road (XS-11)	7-40
Table 7-20:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Heritage Road to Connecticut/Rhode Island Border, Excluding Elvira Heights (XS-12)	7-42
Table 7-21:	Measured Electric and Magnetic Fields for Elvira Heights – XS-12 BMP in the Vicinity of Residences	7-43
Table 7-22:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) – Elvira Heights (XS-12 BMP)	7-44
Table 7-23:	Estimated Project Cost Increases for BMP Design Implementation	7-46
Table 9-1:	Potential, Permits, Reviews, and Approvals Required for the Project.....	9-3
Table 9-2:	List of Federal and State Agency Consultations to Date	9-4
Table 10-1:	Summary of Existing Characteristics: 1.4 Miles of 150-Foot-Wide ROW along Segments 1 and 2.....	10-8
Table 10-2:	Comparison of Structure Types and Heights: Existing 330 Line to No ROW Expansion Option	10-14
Table 10-3:	Summary of Existing Environmental Features: No ROW Expansion Option (Segments 1 and 2: 150-foot-wide ROW)	10-18
Table 10-4:	No ROW Expansion Option: Summary of Potential Environmental Effects, by ROW Segment	10-20
Table 10-5:	Summary of Electric and Magnetic Field Levels at ROW Edges for Existing, Proposed, and the No ROW Expansion Options	10-24
Table 10-6:	Comparison of Proposed Project Configuration and No ROW Expansion Option	10-26
Table 10-7:	Cost Comparison of Proposed Project Configuration and No ROW Expansion Option	10-27
Table 10-8:	Comparison of Structure Types and Heights: Existing 330 Line and Minimal ROW Expansion Option	10-28

Table 10-9:	Summary of Existing Environmental Features: Minimal ROW Expansion Option (Segment 1 [175-foot-wide ROW] and Segment 2 [185-foot-wide ROW]).....	10-31
Table 10-10:	Minimal ROW Expansion Option: Summary of Potential Environmental Effects, by ROW Segment	10-32
Table 10-12:	Comparison of Proposed Project Configuration and Minimal ROW Expansion Option	10-37
Table 10-13:	Cost Comparison of Proposed Project Configuration and Minimal ROW Expansion Option	10-37
Table 10-14:	Summary Comparison of Mansfield Hollow Configuration Options: Total (Segments 1 and 2)	10-39
Table 13 1:	System Benefit Comparison of Interstate Reliability Project Options.....	13-24
Table 13 2:	Summary of Cost Estimates of Interstate Reliability Project Options (\$ million).....	13-25
Table 13 3:	Summary of Primary Elements: A-series Options and Option C-2.1	13-28
Table 13 4:	Comparison of Option A Series (A-1 through A-4) and Option C-2.1: New 345-kV Transmission Line and Related Substation and Switching Station Facilities: Connecticut, Rhode Island, and Massachusetts	13-30
Table 13 5:	Summary of Reliability Criteria Violations for Generation NTA	13-39
Table 13 6:	Summary of Reliability Criteria Violations for Reference DR Combination NTA.....	13-42
Table 13 7:	Summary of Reliability Criteria Violations for Aggressive DR Combination NTA...	13-42
Table 13 8:	Active DR Required to Provide an NTA in the Combination Case assuming Aggressive Passive DR Case	13-45
Table 14-1:	CL&P Transmission Line Route Selection Objectives.....	14-2
Table 14-2:	Route Evaluation Criteria for Overhead Transmission Line Siting	14-7
Table 14-3:	Route Evaluation Criteria for Underground Transmission Cable System Siting	14-24
Table 14-4:	Summary of ROWs along Combined Highway and Transmission Line ROW Alternative Route.....	14-49
Table 14-5:	Summary of Key Features: Combined Highway and Transmission Line ROW Underground Alternative	14-52
Table 14-6:	Summary of Key Features: Combined Highway and Transmission Line ROW Underground Alternative with and without U.S. Route 44 Underground Variation ...	14-59
Table 15-1:	Summary of Variations	15-4
Table 15-2:	Soils and Soil Characteristics along the Mansfield Underground Variation	15-21
Table 15-3:	Delineated Wetlands / Vernal Pools and Wetlands Supporting Amphibian Habitat: Mansfield Underground Variation	15-23
Table 15-4:	Summary of Primary Effects and Potential Mitigation for the Mansfield Underground Variation	15-30
Table 15-5:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at AAL for the Mansfield Underground Variation	15-33
Table 15-6:	Comparison of Magnetic Field Levels at AAL for Overhead Lines and the Mansfield Underground Variation	15-34
Table 15-7:	Comparison of Electric Field Levels for Overhead Lines and the Mansfield Underground Variation at AAL	15-35
Table 15-8:	Comparison of the Mansfield Underground Variation to the Proposed Overhead Delta Transmission Line Configuration	15-36
Table 15-9:	Soils and Soil Characteristics along the Mount Hope Underground Variation	15-45
Table 15-10:	Delineated Wetlands along the Mount Hope Underground Variation.....	15-46
Table 15-11:	Summary of Primary Effects and Potential Mitigation for the Mount Hope Underground Variation	15-54
Table 15-12:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at AAL for the Mount Hope Underground Variation	15-57

Table 15-13:	Comparison of Magnetic Field Levels at AAL for the Proposed Overhead H-Frame Line Configuration and the Mount Hope Underground Variation.....	15-58
Table 15-14:	Magnetic Field Levels at Statutory Facilities Near the Mount Hope Underground Variation Route.....	15-59
Table 15-15:	Comparison of Electric Field Levels for Overhead H-Frame Line and the Mt. Hope Underground Variation	15-60
Table 15-16:	Comparison of the Mount Hope Underground Variation to the Proposed Project Overhead H-Frame Line Segment	15-61
Table 15-17:	Comparison of Brooklyn Variations to Segments of the Proposed Project Each Would Replace.....	15-67
Table 15-18:	Soils and Soil Characteristics along the Brooklyn Overhead Variation	15-72
Table 15-19:	Wetlands along the Brooklyn Overhead Variation	15-73
Table 15-20:	Summary of Primary Effects and Potential Mitigation for the Brooklyn Overhead Variation	15-78
Table 15-21:	Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at AAL for the Brooklyn Overhead Variation.....	15-80
Table 15-22:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the Existing ROW at AAL for Existing ROW Segments With and Without Completion of the Brooklyn Overhead Variation (BOV).....	15-81
Table 15-23:	Comparison of the Brooklyn Overhead Variation to the Proposed Overhead Line to be Replaced.....	15-83
Table 15-24:	Soils and Soil Characteristics along the Brooklyn Underground Variation	15-89
Table 15-25:	Delineated Wetlands / Vernal Pools and Wetland Supporting Amphibian Habitat: Brooklyn Underground Variation	15-91
Table 15-26:	Summary of Primary Effects and Potential Mitigation for the Brooklyn Underground Variation	15-96
Table 15-27:	Summary of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at AAL for the Brooklyn Underground Variation	15-100
Table 15-28:	Comparison of Magnetic Field Levels at AAL for Overhead Lines and Underground Variation	15-102
Table 15-29:	Comparison of Electric Field Levels for Overhead Lines and the Brooklyn Underground Variation	15-102
Table 15-30:	Magnetic Field Levels at Statutory Facilities Near the Brooklyn Underground Variation Route.....	15-103
Table 15-31:	Comparison of the Brooklyn Underground Variation to the Proposed Project Segment (Overhead Line) Replaced.....	15-107
Table 15-32:	Comparison of Willimantic South Variations to the Portions of the Proposed Route that Each Would Replace.....	15-111
Table 15-33:	Towns Traversed along the Willimantic South Overhead Variation vs. the Proposed Route.....	15-116
Table 15-34:	Soils and Soil Characteristics along the Willimantic South Overhead Variation	15-120
Table 15-35:	Watercourses Traversed by the Willimantic South Overhead Variation	15-122
Table 15-36:	Wetlands along the Willimantic South Overhead Variation.....	15-124
Table 15-37:	Road Crossings along the Willimantic South Overhead Variation.....	15-129
Table 15-38:	Summary of Primary Effects and Potential Mitigation for the Willimantic South Overhead Variation.....	15-132
Table 15-39:	Summary of Pre-Interstate(2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at AAL for the Willimantic South Overhead Variation: Card Street Substation to Existing Structure 7814 at Card Street – XS WS-OH-1	15-135

Table 15-40:	Summary of Pre-Interstate(2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at AAL for the Willimantic South Overhead Variation: Existing Structure 7814 at Card Street to Structure 7809 at Route 289 – XS WS-OH-2	15-136
Table 15-41:	Summary of Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at AAL for the Willimantic South Overhead Variation: Route 289 in Lebanon to Chewink Road in Chaplin – XS WS-OH-3	15-137
Table 15-42:	Comparison of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edges of the Existing CL&P Transmission ROW at AAL, With and Without Use of the Willimantic South Overhead Variation (WSOV)	15-138
Table 15-43:	Comparison of the Willimantic South Overhead Variation to the Proposed Transmission Line Segment (Overhead Line) on Existing CL&P ROW to be Replaced	15-143
Table 15-44:	Towns Traversed along the Willimantic South Underground Variation vs. the Proposed Route Within Existing CL&P ROW	15-144
Table 15-45:	Soils and Soil Characteristics along the Willimantic South Underground Variation	15-149
Table 15-46:	Watercourses along the Willimantic South Underground Variation	15-152
Table 15-47:	Wetlands: Willimantic South Underground Variation.....	15-154
Table 15-48:	Roads and Major Utility Crossings along the Willimantic South Underground Variation	15-160
Table 15-49:	Summary of Primary Effects and Potential Mitigation for the Willimantic South Underground Variation	15-163
Table 15-50:	Summary of Post-Project (2020) EMF Levels at ± 25 Feet from the ROW Centerline at AAL for the Willimantic South Underground Variation Route from Card Street Substation to Existing Structure 9101 – XS-UG-1	15-167
Table 15-51:	Summary of Post-Project (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at AAL for the Willimantic South Underground Variation Route between Existing Structures 9101 and 9106 – XS-UG-2.....	15-168
Table 15-52:	Comparison of Electric Field Levels at the Edge of the Existing 345-kV ROW With the Proposed Overhead Transmission Line and the Underground Variation Within the CL&P ROW	15-169
Table 15-53:	Comparison of Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) EMF Levels at the Edge of the ROW at AAL for Existing ROW, With and Without Use of the Willimantic South Underground Variation (WSUV).....	15-170
Table 15-54:	Measured Electric and Magnetic Fields for the Willimantic South Underground Variation in the Vicinity of Child Day-Care Facilities, Playgrounds, and Schools: Cross Section XS-UG1 (Road ROWs)	15-171
Table 15-55:	Comparison of the Willimantic South Underground Variation to the Proposed Project Segment (Overhead Line) to be Replaced	15-175
Table 17-1:	Cross-Reference between the Council’s 2010 <i>Application Guide</i> and CL&P’s Application	17-1

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure No.</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
Figure ES-1:	Project Location Map: Interstate Reliability Project..... ES-2
Figure ES-2:	Location of Proposed 345-kV Transmission Lines and Substation / Switching Stations to be Modified in Connecticut ES-4
Figure ES-3:	Transmission Line Route Alternatives Initially Identified ES-28
Figure ES-4:	Proposed Route and Route Variations ES-30
Figure ES-5:	Location of the Existing CL&P ROWs across the Mansfield Hollow Federally-Owned Properties: Segments 1 and 2..... ES-32
Figure ES-6:	Connecticut Portion of the Interstate Reliability Project – Estimated Timeline ES-39
Figure 1-1:	Interstate Reliability Project: Proposed 345-kV Transmission Line Location Map 1-4
Figure 1-2:	Location of Proposed 345-kV Transmission Lines and Substation / Switching Stations to be Modified in Connecticut 1-8
Figure 2-1:	Southern New England Load Concentrations 2-11
Figure 2-2:	Southern New England 345-kV System, Geographic Overview 2-12
Figure 2-3:	Approximate Boundary of New England East – West Interface 2-14
Figure 2-4:	Southern New England Subareas and Constraints 2-18
Figure 2-5:	Resource Additions by Load Zone Since 2008 Needs Analysis..... 2-23
Figure 2-6:	Comparison of Original NEEWS Load Level to 2005 & 2010 CELT Forecasts 2-24
Figure 2-7:	East – West Interface and Greater Rhode Island Corridor Limit Flows From the West and Greater Rhode Island to the East 2-32
Figure 2-8:	East – West Interface Limits Flows to the West From the East and Greater Rhode Island..... 2-33
Figure 2-9:	Proposed Interstate Reliability Project as Identified by Results of Updated Solution Study 2-35
Figure 4-1:	Typical Vegetation Removal: Proposed ROW Configuration with H-Frame Design... 4-15
Figure 7-1:	Electric and Magnetic Field Levels in the Environment..... 7-4
Figure 7-2:	Typical Magnetic Field Personal Exposures..... 7-5
Figure 7-3:	Profile XS-1: Card Street Substation to Babcock Hill Junction – Magnetic Fields under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL 7-18
Figure 7-4:	Profile XS-2 BMP: Babcock Hill Junction to Vicinity of Highland Road – Magnetic Fields under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL..... 7-20
Figure 7-5:	Profile XS-2: Vicinity of Highland Road to Mansfield Hollow State Park – Magnetic Fields under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL..... 7-22
Figure 7-6:	Profile XS-3– Mansfield Hollow State Park to Bassetts Bridge Road - Magnetic Fields under Pre-Interstate (2015) and post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL..... 7-24
Figure 7-7:	Profile XS-4 Bassetts Bridge Road to Shuba Lane – Magnetic Fields under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL..... 7-25
Figure 7-8:	Profile XS-5– Vicinity of Shuba Lane through Mansfield Hollow WMA to Vicinity of Willimantic Road - Magnetic Fields Under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL 7-27
Figure 7-9:	Profile XS-6 – Willimantic Road to Vicinity of Day Street Junction - Magnetic Fields under Pre Interstate (2015) and Post NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL 7-28
Figure 7-10:	Profile XS-6 BMP – Vicinity of Day Street Junction - Magnetic Fields Under Pre Interstate (2015) and Post NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL 7-31
Figure 7-11:	Profile XS-7: Day Street Junction to Hartford Turnpike – Magnetic fields under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) conditions at AAL 7-33
Figure 7-12:	Profile XS-8: Hartford Turnpike to Lake Road Junction – Magnetic Fields under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL 7-34

Figure 7-13:	Profile XS-9: Lake Road Junction to Lake Road Switching Station - Magnetic Fields under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL	7-36
Figure 7-14:	Profile XS-10: Lake Road Junction to Killingly Substation – Magnetic Fields under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL	7-38
Figure 7-15:	Profile XS-11: Killingly Substation to Heritage Road – Magnetic Fields under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL	7-40
Figure 7-16:	Profile XS-12: Heritage Road to Connecticut/Rhode Island Border, Excluding Elvira Heights – Magnetic Fields under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL.....	7-42
Figure 7-17:	Profile XS-12 BMP: Elvira Heights – Magnetic Fields under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL	7-44
Figure 8-1:	Connecticut Portion of the Interstate Reliability Project – Estimated Timeline.....	8-1
Figure 10-1:	Location of the Existing CL&P ROWs across the Mansfield Hollow Federally-Owned Properties: Segments 1 and 2.....	10-2
Figure 10-2:	General Location of CL&P ROW and Mansfield Hollow USACE-Owned and CT DEEP-Leased Recreational Lands: Tolland and Windham Counties	10-6
Figure 10-3:	Magnetic Field Profiles for the Existing, Proposed, and No ROW Expansion (NRE) Options at AAL – Mansfield Hollow State Park to Bassetts Bridge Road - XS-3 and XS-3-MH-NRE	10-23
Figure 10-4:	Magnetic Field Profiles for the Existing, Proposed, and No ROW Expansion (NRE) Options at AAL – Vicinity of Shuba Lane through Mansfield Hollow WMA to Vicinity of Willimantic Road - XS-5 and XS 5 MH-NRE	10-23
Figure 10-5:	Magnetic Field Profiles for the Existing, Proposed, and Minimal ROW Expansion (MRE) Options at AAL – Mansfield Hollow State Park to Bassetts Bridge Road - XS-3 and XS-3-MH-MRE.....	10-34
Figure 10-6:	Magnetic Field Profiles for the Existing, Proposed, and Minimal ROW Expansion (MRE) Options at AAL – Vicinity of Shuba Lane through Mansfield Hollow WMA to Vicinity of Willimantic Road - XS-5 and XS 5 MH-MRE.....	10-35
Figure 13-1:	Location Maps of Six Interstate Options	13-5
Figure 13-2:	Location of Option C-2.1	13-12
Figure 13-3:	Location of Option A Variations	13-13
Figure 13-4:	Option A-1 Elements in RI and MA	13-15
Figure 13-5:	Option A-2 Elements in RI and MA	13-17
Figure 13-6:	Option A-3 Elements in RI and MA	13-19
Figure 13-7:	Option A-4 Elements in RI and MA	13-21
Figure 13-8:	Comparison of Achievable Incremental Passive DR to CLL Load Reduction in Southern New England – 2015 and 2020.....	13-37
Figure 13-9:	Range of Reliability Criteria Violations in Southern New England – Generation NTA	13-40
Figure 13-10:	Incremental Supply and Demand Resources Capacity in Combination NTA Cases...	13-41
Figure 13-11:	Range of Reliability Criteria Violations in Southern New England – Combination NTA	13-43
Figure 13-12:	Combination Case Incremental Required Load Reduction to Achieve an NTA in Southern NE – 2015 and 2020.....	13-44
Figure 14-1:	Transmission Line Route Alternatives Initially Identified	14-8
Figure 14-2:	Pipeline, Highway, Railroad, and Transmission Line ROWs in the Project Region...	14-11
Figure 14-3:	Typical Underground Cable System Construction within Road ROW	14-28
Figure 14-4:	Typical 345-kV Duct Bank Cross Section.....	14-30
Figure 14-5:	Combined Highway and Transmission Line ROWs Alternative Route	14-48
Figure 14-6:	Combined Highway and Transmission Line ROWs Underground Alternative.....	14-58
Figure 15-1:	Proposed Route and Route Variations	15-2

Figure 15-2:	Location of Mansfield Underground Variation	15-17
Figure 15-3:	Magnetic Field Profiles under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL for the Mansfield Underground Variation	15-33
Figure 15-4:	Mount Hope Underground Variation.....	15-40
Figure 15-5:	Magnetic Field Profiles under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL for the Mount Hope Underground Variation	15-57
Figure 15-6:	Brooklyn Overhead and Underground Variations	15-66
Figure 15-7:	Magnetic Field Profiles Under Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL for the Brooklyn Overhead Variation.....	15-80
Figure 15-8:	Magnetic Fields Under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL for the Brooklyn Underground Variation in XS-UG-2.....	15-99
Figure 15-9:	Magnetic Fields Under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL for the Brooklyn Underground Variation in XS-UG-3.....	15-100
Figure 15-10:	Willimantic South Variations	15-109
Figure 15-11:	Magnetic Field Profiles Under Pre Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL for the Willimantic South Overhead Variation: Card Street Substation to Existing Structure 7814 – XS-WS-OH-1	15-135
Figure 15-12:	Magnetic Field Profiles Under Pre Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL for the Willimantic South Overhead Variation: Card Street to Beaumont Highway – XS-WS-OH-2	15-136
Figure 15-13:	Magnetic Field Profiles Under Pre Interstate (2015) and Post-NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL for the Willimantic South Overhead Variation: Beaumont Highway to Chewink Road – XS-WS-OH-3	15-137
Figure 15-14:	Magnetic Field Profiles under Post NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL for the Willimantic South Underground Variation Route from Card Street Substation to Existing Structure 9101 – XS-UG-1	15-166
Figure 15-15:	Magnetic Field Profiles under Pre-Interstate (2015) and Post NEEWS (2020) Conditions at AAL for the Willimantic South Underground Variation Route between Existing Structures 9101 and 9106 – XS-UG-2	15-168
Figure 15-16:	Measured Magnetic Fields Along Willimantic South Underground Variation Route From Card Street Substation to Near Existing Structure 9101	15-171

Note: This page intentionally left blank.